Extended π -Conjugated Molecules Derived from Naphthalene Diimides toward Organic Emissive and Semiconducting Materials

Yonghai Li, Guanxin Zhang,* Ge Yang, Yunlong Guo, Chong'an Di, Xin Chen, Zitong Liu, Huiying Liu, Zhenzhen Xu, Wei Xu, Hongbing Fu, and Deqing Zhang*

Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, Organic Solids Laboratory and Photochemistry Laboratory, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: In this paper, a new synthetic way to modify naphthalene diimide (NDI) at "shoulder" positions is reported. The key step of the transformation is the intramolecular cyclization involving ethynyl and imidecarbonyl groups. The structure of the intermediate pyrylium cation was confirmed by X-ray crystal structural analysis. New conjugated molecules 1a-g were successfully synthesized in acceptable yields. Their absorption and fluorescence spectra were measured. Among them 1c-f are strongly emissive in solutions. Furthermore, 1b-f are also fluorescent in their solid states; in particular, 1b exhibits a typical aggregation-induced enhanced emission feature. Yellow-emissive microfibrils of 1d show potential optical waveguide behavior. HOMO/LUMO energies of 1a-f were determined based on their cyclic voltammograms. The results also reveal that HOMO/LUMO energies of these new conjugated molecules are influenced by the two flanking moieties. Notably, the thin film of 1c that is



emissive shows p-type semiconducting behavior with hole mobility up to 0.0063 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ based on the transfer and output characteristics of the OFET (organic field effect transistor).

INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that development of new π -conjugated molecules is crucial for optoelectronic materials including light-emitting materials, organic semiconductors, and photovoltaic materials.^{1–11} This is mainly owing to the electronic structure of π -systems, which can lead to extensive delocalization of electrons throughout the molecules. In principle, HOMO/LUMO energies and their intermolecular interactions (thus self-assembly structures) of π -conjugated molecules can be tuned by modifying their chemical structures. In this manner, emissive and electronic properties of π -conjugated molecules in the solid states are critically determined by their chemical structures. More and more results reveal that extended π -conjugated molecules are promising for optoelectronic materials of high performances. Accordingly, extended π -conjugated molecules have received increasing attention in recent years.^{12–15}

1,4,5,8-Naphthalenediimide (NDI) and its derivatives have been intensively investigated for organic optoelectronic materials.^{16–18} Organic semiconductors and photovoltaic materials have been constructed on the basis of NDI framework.^{19,20} In order to enhance the performance of NDIbased materials, various chemical modifications of the NDI framework were reported. As depicted in Scheme 1, these can be mainly classified as follows: (i) connection of electronwithdrawing or -donating moieties to the NDI core via single bonds;^{21–25} (ii) fusion of additional rings to NDI at core Scheme 1. Illustration of Chemical Modification Manners of NDI to Extended Conjugated Molecules



positions;^{26–30} (iii) chemical modification of the imide groups of NDI and formation of additional rings at "head" positions;^{31–35} (iv) transformation of the imide groups of NDI into additional rings at "shoulder" positions.^{36,37}

A number of NDI-derived conjugated molecules were prepared via manner i. For instance, incorporation of electron-withdrawing –CN groups at the core positions of NDI led to air-stable *n*-type semiconductors.^{21,22} Facchetti et al. reported the alternating NDI-bithiophene polymer, and the resulting OFETs exhibited electron mobilities up to 0.85 cm² V^{-1} s⁻¹ (*n*-type) under ambient conditions.^{23–25} Chemical modification of NDI via manner ii also led to various π -

Received: December 14, 2012 Published: March 5, 2013

R. iii ii Br $R_2 = SnBu_3$ R₂ ò \mathbf{k}_1 k1 \mathbf{k}_1 2 TFSI 2BrNDI $C_{10}H_{21}$ 3a 2a1a Ċ₈H₁₇ $10^{H}21$ 3b 1b2b 2c 3c 1c Ċ₈H₁₇ 2d 3d 1d2e 3e 1e 2f 3f 1f 2g 3g 1g *-n-C.H.,

Scheme 2. Chemical Structures and Synthetic Approaches for Compounds 1a-g, 2a-g, and 3a-g^a

^aReagents and conditions: (i) respective tin reagents, Pd(PPh₃)₄, toluene, reflux, 2.5 h; yields: for **2a**, 81%; for **2b**, 84%; for **2c**, 86%; for **2d**, 89%; for **2e**, 85%; for **2f**, 76%; for **2g**, 83%; (ii) TFSIH (bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonamide), CH₂Cl₂, N₂, for **2a**–**d** and **2g**, rt 0.5–6.0 h; for **2e**, *f*, reflux, 48 h; (iii) NH₃·H₂O, CH₃OH, reflux, 1.0 h; yields based on **2a–g**, respectively: for **1a**, 47%; for **1b**, 42%; for **1c**, 60%; for **1d**, 52%; for **1e**, 46%; for **1f**, 59%; for **1g**, 58%.

conjugated molecules for organic semiconductors of high mobilities. Gao et al. reported core-expanded NDI derivatives containing 2-(1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)malonitrile groups (electron-withdrawing), and the resulting OFETs exhibit electron mobilities up to 1.2 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ in air (*n*-type).^{26–28} Würthner et al. disclosed *p*-type and ambipolar semiconductors based on core-expanded NDI.²⁹ Some of us have very recently described NDI derivatives entailing electron-donating tetrathiafulvalene or 1,3-dithole-2-thione (-one) moieties, and they behave as either *n*-type or *p*-type or ambipolar materials depending on the substitution groups.³⁰

Chemical transformations of the imide groups of NDI were also explored to generate new conjugated molecules (via manners iii and iv). Perinone derivatives as industrially important pigments were synthesized via manners iii many decades ago.³¹ However, in recent years, this type of modified NDI framework is getting more attention from other areas. For example, Jenekhe and co-workers introduced them into ladderpolymers which exhibit *n*-type semiconducting properties.^{32,33} Langhals et al. reported naphthalene iminoimides by either condensation of NDI with ethylenediamine or simultaneous condensation of naphthalene dianhydride, a primary amine, and ethylenediamine.³⁴ Marks et al. have recently disclosed a family of naphthaleneamidinemonoimide-fused oligothiophenes that exhibit *n*-type or ambipolar semiconducting behaviors.³⁵ However, fusion of rings to NDI at the "shoulder" positions was seldom reported. Marder and co-workers have just recently described the transformation of NDI into the 2,6-diacyl

derivatives, which can react with hydrazine to afford 2,6diacylnaphthalene-1,8:4,5-bis(dicarboximides).³⁶ This modified-NDI framework has been also incorporated into ladderpolymers by Luscombe et al., and these electronic polymers exhibit electron mobilities as high as 0.0026 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (*n*type).³⁷

In this paper, we report a new synthetic approach to modify NDI at "shoulder" positions to yield new extended conjugated molecules 1a-g (Scheme 2). Spectroscopic and electrochemical studies were performed to determine their HOMO/LUMO levels. Most of these new conjugated molecules were found to be emissive both in solutions and solid states. Moreover, thin-film OFET (organic field-effect transistor) of 1c was successfully fabricated, which behaved as a *p*-type semiconductor with hole mobility up to 0.0063 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. These studies clearly manifest that these new NDI-derived conjugated molecules are interesting building blocks to construct new optoelectronic materials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis. The synthesis of 1a-f started from the respective NDI compounds 2a-f with substituted acetylene moieties which were prepared by Stille coupling of the respective tin reagents and **2BrNDI** (dibromonaphthalene diimide, $N-C_{20}H_{41}$).³⁸ Compounds 2a-f were allowed to react with TFSIH [bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonamide] under nitrogen atmosphere to afford colored solids after removal of

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

solvents. As to be discussed below, these colored solids were the products from intramolecular cyclization involving ethynyl and imidecarbonyl groups in CH_2Cl_2 . These colored solids were dissolved in dry methanol and treated with NH_3 · H_2O under reflux. After separation with column chromatography, 1a-f were obtained in acceptable yields. Their structures were characterized by NMR and MS data, and their purities were confirmed by elemental analysis.

It is interesting to note that the transformation of 2a-c with electron-donating groups at the end of ethynyl groups into the colored solids can be completed after stirring at room temperature for less than 1 h. The transformation of 2d can also easily proceed after stirring at room temperature for about 6.0 h. However, the transformation of 2e and 2f required heating the CH₂Cl₂ solutions to reflux for about 48 h. These are indeed in agreement with the formation of intermediate compounds 3a-f since the electron-donating groups will stabilize the pyrylium cations, whereas electron-accepting groups would not be favorable for the formation of the respective pyrylium cations. Crystals of good quality were not obtained for the colored solids 3a-f. Instead, the analogous compound 1g with short alkyl chains $(-n-C_8H_{17})$ at the Npositions was synthesized by following the same procedures as for 1a. The crystal structure of 3g was successfully determined. As depicted in Figure 1, the formation of the pyrylium cation



Figure 1. Crystal structure of 3g and the intermolecular arrangements in the crystal.

3g was clearly confirmed. The central part of **3g** flanked by two ferrocene moieties was completely planar. Two Cp (cyclo-

pentadienyl) rings in two flanking ferrocene moieties are almost coplanar with the central core. The bond lengths and angles of 3g are all in the normal region (see the Supporting Information). To conclude briefly, the crystal structure of 3g provides solid evidence for the formation of the pyrylium cation through the intramolecular cyclization involving the ethynyl and imidecarbonyl groups.

Although Nishihara and co-workers reported protonationinduced cyclization of 1-arylethynylanthraquinones and relevant molecules,^{39–41} the intramolecular reaction between ethynyl and imidecarbonyl groups to form pyrylium cation in the presence of acid was not reported before, to the best of our knowledge. This new reaction will not only provide a new approach to modify NDI at "shoulder" positions but also enlarge the scope of this type of cyclization reaction reported initially by Nishihara.⁴¹ This versatile reaction allows the formation of two pyridine rings and simultaneous introduction of different functional groups, which can be either electronwithdrawing or donating, to generate new extended conjugated molecules.

Spectroscopic Studies. The solutions of 1a-g are colorful, ranging from red to yellow. The absorption spectra of $1a-g^{42}$ were measured (see Figure S1, Supporting Information), and the respective low-energy absorption maxima and the coefficients are shown in Table 1. Compound 1f with two methyl groups shows the absorption maximum at 466 nm. In comparison, the absorptions of 1b-d are red-shifted. For 1a with two electron-donating ferrocene moieties the absorption is extended to 620 nm. These results reveal that absorption spectra of **1a**–**d** are influenced by the flanking groups. This can be understood as follows: (1) the flanking moieties in 1a-d are electron-donating, whereas the central cores are electronaccepting; (2) thus, intramolecular interactions between the respective electron-donating and -accepting moieties in 1a-d are anticipated; (3) absorption spectra of 1a-d are expected to be influenced by such intramolecular interactions.⁴³ The introduction of electron-withdrawing (p-trifluoromethyl)phenyl moieties in 1e will weaken the intramolecular electron donoracceptor interactions, and as a result the absorptions of 1e are slightly hypsochromically shifted compared to those of 1c and 1d as depicted in Figure S1 (Supporting Information).

Both 1a and 1g are almost nonfluorescent in solutions. Figure 2a shows the fluorescence spectra of 1b-f including

Table 1. Absorption and Fluorescence Data of 1a-f

		fluorescence							
	absorption ^a	solution fluorescence			solid-state fluorescence				
compd	$\lambda_{\rm max}^{\ \ b} ({\rm nm}) (\varepsilon/{\rm M}^{-1}{\rm cm}^{-1})$	λ_{\max}^{c} (nm)	Φ^d	τ (ns)	λ_{\max} (nm)	Φ^e	τ_1 (ns)	τ_2 (ns)	$<\tau >^{f}$ (ns)
1a	453 (22400)								
1b	515 (16000)	637	0.06		605	0.24	15.26		15.26
1c	504 (25500)	521	0.40	3.84	614	0.05	1.04	4.47	3.44
1d	480 (28500)	490	>0.99	7.04	545	0.30	1.44	8.15	5.47
1e	472 (27700)	482	>0.99	4.26	538	0.10	0.88	3.15	1.95
1f	466 (35600)	476	>0.99	10.32	543	0.08	7.96	0.94	4.02

^{*a*}The absorption spectra were measured with the respective CH_2Cl_2 solutions; the concentration of each solution was 1.0×10^{-5} M. ^{*b*}Lowest energy absorption maxima. ^{*c*}The fluorescence spectra were measured with the respective CH_2Cl_2 solutions, and the concentration of each solution was 1.0×10^{-5} M; the excitation wavelengths were 515 nm for 1b, 475 nm for 1c, 455 nm for 1d, 440 nm for 1e, and 430 nm for 1f, respectively. ^{*d*}The solution quantum yields were measured using rhodamine 101 ($\Phi = 100\%$ in ethanol) as standard. ^{*e*}The quantum yields in the solid states were measured using the absolute integrating sphere method. ^{*f*}An apparent decay time constant $\langle \tau \rangle$ (average fluorescence lifetime) was determined by using the relation $\langle \tau = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \times \tau_i / \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i > (n = 1-2)$, where τ_i and a_i , respectively, represent the individual exponential decay time constant and the corresponding preexponential factor.⁵⁵

The Journal of Organic Chemistry



Figure 2. (a) (Left) Solution fluorescence spectra of 1b-f in CH_2Cl_2 ; the concentration of each solution was 1.0×10^{-5} M. (Right) Corresponding solution photos of 1b-f (1.0×10^{-3} M in CH_2Cl_2) under UV light (365 nm) irradiation; (b) solid-state fluorescence spectra of 1b-f in the form of powders; (c) photoluminescence (PL) images of microfibrils of 1d.

photos of their solutions under UV light, and Table 1 summarizes their emission maxima and quantum yields. Obviously, the emissive properties of 1b-f are influenced by the features of the flanking groups. The emission maxima are red-shifted in the following order: 1f < 1e < 1d < 1c < 1b. The solutions of 1d-f show bright yellow-green fluorescence, and their emission quantum efficiencies reach that of Rhodamine 101. The solution of 1b emits red light with low quantum efficiency, whereas the solution of 1c is yellow-emissive with relatively high quantum efficiency. The fluorescence lifetimes of 1c-f are in the nanosecond region, being in agreement with their high quantum efficiencies. These results reveal that the emission properties of 1a-g can be tuned by the flanking groups: (1) when the flanking groups such as ferrocene in la and 1g and triphenylamine in 1b are electron-donating the fluorescence will be largely quenched due to the photoinduced electron transfer;⁴⁴ (2) the incorporation of electron-donating groups will also red-shift the emission.

The solid-state fluorescence spectra were also measured (see Figure 2b), and the respective emission quantum yields and fluorescence lifetimes including average fluorescence lifetimes are listed in Table 1. Again, 1a and 1g are not emissive in the solid state either.⁴⁵ Other compounds are fluorescent in their solid states. Among these compounds, 1b and 1d are strongly emissive with relatively high emission quantum yields in the solid states. This is consistent with the observation that 1b and 1d show longer average fluorescence lifetimes than those of 1c, 1e, and 1f. In comparison with the fluorescence behaviors of 1c-f in solutions, their emission maxima are red-shifted and emission quantum yields are reduced in their solid states. This may be attributed to the intermolecular $\pi - \pi$ interactions in solid states of 1c-f according to previous reports.⁴⁶

Yellow-emissive microfibrils of 1d were obtained and characterized with photoluminescence microscopy. Notably, the tips of these microfibrils exhibit even brighter yellow-emission as depicted in Figure 2c. Therefore, microfibrils of 1d are potentially useful for optical waveguides.⁴⁷

However, compared to those of **1b** in solution, the emission maximum of **1b** in the solid state is hypsochromically shifted

and the emission quantum yield is enhanced (see Table 1). As shown in Figure 3, the CH_2Cl_2 solution of 1b is just weakly



Figure 3. Fluorescence spectra of **1b** $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ in the mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and *n*-hexane with different fractions of *n*-hexane (f_w) ; the excitation wavelength was 500 nm. When only *n*-hexane was used as the solvent, it was found that a small amount of **1b** precipitated out and as a result the fluorescence intensity was slightly reduced.

emissive; but after the addition of n-hexane to induce the aggregation of 1b the fluorescence spectrum is gradually hypsochromically shifted and fluorescence intensity is simultaneously enhanced. For instance, the emission maximum is shifted from 637 to 551 nm and the intensity increases by 46.5fold when the volume content of *n*-hexane reaches 90%. Such "unexpected" fluorescent behavior of 1b may be ascribed to the free-rotation of phenyl fragments within triphenylamine moieties which will lead to weak fluorescence of 1b in solution;⁴⁸ however, these rotations can be inhibited in the solid state (after aggregation), resulting in fluorescence enhancement according to previous reports.⁴⁹⁻⁵³ The blueshift of the emission maximum in the solid state (after aggregation) is probably due to the fat that 1b adopts a more twisting conformation in the solid state; as a result, the intramolecular electron donor-acceptor interactions are weakened and the emission is hypsochromically shifted.⁵⁴

Electrochemical and Theoretical Studies. Cyclic voltammgrams of 1a-f were measured, and their redox potentials are shown in Table 2. As shown in Figure S9 (Supporting Information), 1a and 1b each possess one quasireversible oxidation wave due to ferrocence and triphenylamine moieties, respectively;⁵⁶ both of them also exhibit one quasireversible reduction wave and one irreversible reduction wave. In comparison, 1c-f each exhibit an irreversible oxidation wave at potentials higher than 1.30 V (vs Ag/AgCl), but they each also possess one quasi-reversible reduction wave and one irreversible reduction wave. On the basis of the respective onset oxidation and reduction potentials, HOMO and LUMO energies of 1a-f were estimated with the following equations: HONO = $-(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox1}} + 4.41)$ eV, LUMO = $-(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{red}} + 4.41)$ eV. ^{57,58} As listed in Table 2, HOMO energies of **1a** and **1b** are -4.91 and -5.30 eV, respectively, which lie higher than those of 1c-f. This is likely owing to the presence of two electrondonating groups in 1a and 1b. LUMO energies of 1c and 1e lie lower than those of 1a, 1b, 1d, and 1f. The incorporation of electron-withdrawing groups (p-trifluoromethylphenyl) in 1e is beneficial for lowering its LUMO energy. Theoretical calculation (see below) indicates that the two thiophene rings in 1c are almost coplanar with the central core. Such coplanar conformation favors electron delocalization, and accordingly it

Table 2. Redox Potentials and Experimental/Calculated HOMO/LUMO Energies of 1a-f ^a	
---	--

	experimental data						DFT calculations		
compd	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm red1}$ (V)	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm ox1}$ (V)	$E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{red1}}$ (V)	$E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox1}}$ (V)	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)	$E_g^{\rm cv}$ (eV)	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)
1a	-1.37	0.74	-1.20	0.50	-4.91	-3.21	1.70		
1b	-1.27	1.09	-1.16	0.89	-5.30	-3.25	2.05		
1c	-1.32	1.53	-1.09	1.35	-5.76	-3.32	2.44	-5.54	-2.67
1d	-1.36	1.74	-1.14	1.52	-5.93	-3.27	2.66	-5.69	-2.65
1e	-1.23	1.79	-1.00	1.65	-6.06	-3.41	2.65	-6.03	-2.93
1f	-1.32	1.66	-1.18	1.52	-5.93	-3.23	2.70	-5.80	-2.59
a	_					_			

^aThe redox potentials were presented in reference to Ag/AgCl, which was calibrated.



Figure 4. Calculated structures, dihedral angles, and HOMO/LUMO orbitals of 1c-f based on DFT calculations; the alkyl chains were replaced by methyl groups in the calculations.

is understandable that the HOMO and LOMO energies of 1c were slightly enhanced and lowered, respectively, in comparison with those of 1d. Therefore, for this type of modified-NDI conjugated molecules their HOMO/LUMO energies can be tuned by varying the respective two flanking moieties.

The structures of 1c-f were investigated with theoretical calculations based on density functional theory (DFT).⁵⁹ It is expected that the alkyl chains at the N_iN' -positions of the new π -expanded NDI core should not have a significant influence on their electronic structures. Thus, the alkyl chains were replaced by methyl groups for the DFT calculations. As depicted in Figure 4, the central core of 1c-f is completely planar. The two thiophene rings in 1c are almost coplanar with the central core, but the respective two flanking moieties in 1d and 1e form dihedral angles of ca. 158° with the central core. HOMO orbitals of 1c-f are delocalized on both the central core and the respective flanking groups, whereas LUMO orbitals are mostly localized on the central core (see Figure 4). The calculated HOMO/LUMO energies of 1c-f (see Table 2) are different from those based on their cyclic voltammograms,⁶⁰ but the results do indicate that HOMO/LUMO energies of these new extended conjugated molecules are affected by the respective two flanking moieties.

Organic Field-Effect Transistor with Thin Film of 1c. Theoretical calculations reveal that **1c** prefers to adopt a planar conformation; thus, intermolecular $\pi - \pi$ interactions are

expected for the thin film of 1c. For this reason, OFET with thin a film of 1c was fabricated to demonstrate the potential application of these new conjugated molecules as organic semiconductors. The fabrication details of OFET are discussed in the Experimental Section. Figure 5 shows the transfer and output characteristics of the bottom-gate bottom-contact OFET with thin-film of 1c. Obviously, I_{DS} increases by applying the negative $V_{\rm GS}$. Thus, it can be concluded that the thin film of 1c behaves as a p-type semiconductor. As depicted in Table S1 (Supporting Information), hole mobilities of OFETs with thin films of 1c increase after annealing the thin films of 1c at 80 and 100 °C. For instance, the OFETs with thin films of 1c exhibit hole mobility up to 0.0063 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ $(0.0054 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ on average})$ with on/off ratios up to 10^6 after annealing at 100 °C. But, the performance of OFETs of 1c becomes poor after further increasing the annealing temperature to 120 °C (see Table S1, Supporting Information). Further optimization of OFET fabrication is underway to improve the OFET performance.

XRD studies reveal that the crystallinity of the thin film of 1c is improved upon annealing. The as-prepared thin-film exhibited no diffraction peaks, and weak diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 6.1^{\circ}$ and $2\theta = 12.2^{\circ}$ appeared after annealing at 80 °C. However, intensities of diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 6.1^{\circ}$ and $2\theta = 12.2^{\circ}$ increased, and new peaks at $2\theta = 9.1$, 21.4, and 23.8° emerged after annealing at 100 °C (see Figure S11, Supporting



Figure 5. Transfer characteristics (a) and output characteristics (b) for OFET of 1c after annealing at 100 °C; the channel width (*W*) and length (*L*) were 1440 and 50 μ m, respectively.⁶⁴.

Information). The crystallinity enhancement observed for the thin film of 1c agrees well with the observation that hole mobility increases after annealing. AFM images were also measured for the thin ilm of 1c after annealing at different temperatures (see Figure S11, Supporting Information). Thinfilm morphology is obviously altered after annealing the thinfilm of 1c. Relatively large domains which are interconnected are formed after annealing at 100 °C.⁶¹ According to previous reports,^{62,63} such thin-film morphology is beneficial for enhancing carrier mobility.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we disclose a new synthetic approach to transform NDI into extended conjugated molecules. The key step of the transformation is the intramolecular cyclization involving ethynyl and imidecarbonyl groups. New conjugated molecules 1a-f were successfully synthesized in acceptable yields. The structure of the intermediate pyrylium cation was confirmed by X-ray crystal structural analysis. Except for 1a, compounds 1b-f are emissive in solutions and their emission characters can be tuned by varying the respective two flanking moieties. Furthermore, 1b-f are also fluorescent in their solid states; in particular, 1b exhibits aggregation-induced enhanced emission. Yellow-emissive microfibrils of 1d show potential optical waveguide behavior. The results also reveal that HOMO/LUMO energies of 1a-f, which were determined on the basis of their cyclic voltammograms, are influenced by the two flanking moieties. Notably, the thin film of 1c that is

emissive behaves as a *p*-type semiconducting behavior with hole mobility up to 0.0063 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹.

It is expected that this new NDI-derived conjugated framework is promising for constructing new optoelectronic materials since different functionalities can be easily introduced to this framework. Alternatively, compounds 1c and 1d can be transformed into the corresponding 1c-2Br and 1d-2Br (see Chart 1), which can be utilized as new building blocks to



prepare new conjugated D–A molecules and polymers toward electronic materials of high performance. Therefore, this new conjugated framework that is easily accessible from NDI deserves further studies.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2BrNDI (N-n- C_8H_{17} , N- $C_{20}H_{41}$) were synthesized according to the reported procedures.⁶⁵ The corresponding tin reagents were synthesized according to the reported procedures and used directly without further purification.⁶⁶

Synthesis of 2a. A solution of 2BrNDI (*N*-C₂₀H₄₁) (108 mg, 0.11 mmol), tributyl(2-ferrocenylethynyl)stannane (165 mg, 0.33 mmol), and a catalytic amount of Pd(PPh₃)₄ in toluene (30 mL) was refluxed for 2.5 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After the reaction, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography with petroleum ether (60–90 °C)/CH₂Cl₂ (v/v, 1/1) as eluent. Compound **2a** was obtained as a dark green solid (110 mg, 0.088 mmol) in 81% yield: mp 149.3–150.1 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (s, 2H), 4.72 (br, 4H), 4.42 (br, 4H), 4.33 (s, 10H), 4.18 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 2.04 (br, 2H), 1.35–1.23 (m, 64H), 0.86 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8, 162.1, 137.4, 127.5, 126.4, 124.8, 105.0, 87.3, 72.6, 70.7, 70.4, 64.1, 45.0, 36.7, 32.1, 31.9, 30.2, 29.8, 29.5, 26.6, 22.8, 14.3; MS (MALDITOF) *m*/z 1243.0 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₇₈H₁₀₂Fe₂N₂O₄: C, 75.35; H, 8.27; N, 2.25. Found: C, 75.38; H, 8.29; N, 2.35.

Synthesis of 2b. Compound **2b** was synthesized as for compound **2a** and obtained as a blue solid in 84% yield: mp 170.8–172.1 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 8.64 (s, 2H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 7.25 (m, 8H), 7.06 (m, 12H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 4.03 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 1.92 (br, 2H), 1.34–1.12 (m, 64H), 0.78–0.73 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.7, 162.0, 149.5, 146.9, 137.2, 134.0, 129.7, 127.2, 126.5, 125.7, 124.9, 124.5, 124.3, 121.4, 114.8, 104.4, 90.3, 44.9, 36.5, 32.0, 31.7, 30.2, 29.8, 29.5, 26.5, 22.8, 14.3; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m*/*z* 1361.7 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₉₄H₁₁₂N₄O₄: C, 82.90; H, 8.29; N, 4.11. Found: C, 82.80; H, 8.24; N, 4.13.

Synthesis of 2c. Compound **2c** was synthesized as for compound **2a** and obtained as a red solid in 86% yield: mp 142.8–143.4 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (s, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (br, 2H), 4.15 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 2.03 (br, 2H), 1.43–1.22 (m, 64H), 0.85–0.89 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.5, 161.9, 136.9, 134.9, 130.6, 127.8, 126.8, 126.6, 125.2, 124.8, 122.7, 96.6, 94.3, 45.0, 36.6, 32.1, 31.8, 30.2, 29.8, 29.5, 26.5, 22.8, 14.3; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m*/*z* 1038.9 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₆₆H₉₀N₂O₄S₂: C, 76.25; H, 8.73; N, 2.69. Found: C, 75.93; H, 8.69; N, 2.76.

Synthesis of 2d. Compound **2d** was synthesized as for compound **2a** and obtained as an orange solid in 89% yield: mp 120.1–120.6 °C;

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.84 (s, 2H), 7.75 (br, 4H), 7.45 (br, 6H), 4.16 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 2.04 (br, 2H), 1.44–1.22 (m, 64H), 0.86–0.85 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.5, 161.9, 137.4, 132.8, 130.0, 128.7, 127.3, 126.6, 125.5, 125.2, 122.7, 103.0, 89.7, 45.0, 36.6, 32.1, 31.8, 30.2, 29.8, 29.5, 26.5, 22.8, 14.3; MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 1027.0 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₇₀H₉₄N₂O₄: C, 81.82; H, 9.22; N, 2.73. Found: C, 81.83; H, 9.11; N, 2.56.

Synthesis of 2e. Compound **2e** was synthesized similarly as for compound **2a** and obtained as an orange-yellow solid in 85% yield: mp 119.6–120.5 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (s, 2H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 2.00 (br, 2H), 1.42–1.21 (m, 64H), 0.87–0.82 (m 12H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.1, 161.5, 137.1, 132.9, 126.7, 126.3, 125.9, 125.6, 125.3, 124.8, 100.8, 91.2, 45.1, 36.6, 32.1, 31.8, 30.2, 29.8, 29.8, 29.5, 26.5, 22.8, 14.2; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m*/*z* 1162.7 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₉₂F₆N₂O₄: C, 74.32; H, 7.97; N, 2.41. Found: C, 73.99; H, 8.07; N, 2.49.

Synthesis of 2f. Compound 2f was synthesized as for compound 2a and obtained as a yellow solid in 76% yield: mp 117.8–118.2 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.68 (s, 2H), 4.11 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 4H), 2.32 (s, 6H), 2.00 (br, 2H), 1.39–1.22 (m, 64H), 0.93–0.87 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.4, 162.1, 138.0, 128.2, 126.2, 125.5, 125.0, 101.6, 80.0, 45.1, 36.5, 32.0, 31.7, 30.2, 29.8, 29.5, 26.5, 22.8, 14.2; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m*/*z* 902.8 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₉₀N₂O₄: C, 79.77; H, 10.04; N, 3.10. Found: C, 79.69; H, 10.24; N, 3.15.

Synthesis of 2g. Compound **2g** was synthesized as for **2a** and obtained as a deep green solid in 71% yield: mp 260.0 °C dec; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (s, 2H), 4.74 (br, 4H), 4.43 (br, 4H), 4.33 (s, 10H), 4.22 (br, 4H), 1.78 (br, 4H), 1.45–1.29 (m, 20H), 0.88 (br, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.4, 161.8, 137.4, 127.4, 126.4, 124.8, 124.7, 105.2, 87.4, 72.7, 70.7, 70.5, 64.1, 41.1, 32.0, 29.5, 29.4, 27.3, 22.8, 14.3; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m/z* 906.7 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₅₄Fe₂N₂O₄: C, 71.53; H, 6.00; N, 3.09. Found: C, 71.20; H, 6.13; N, 3.14.

Synthesis of 3a–d. TFSIH (39 mg, 0.14 mmol) was added to a solution of 2a (70 mg, 0.056 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5.0 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 3a as a purple solid. Compound 3a was used for next step without further purification. Compounds 3b-d were synthesized similarly, and they all were used for the next step without further purification.

Synthesis of 3e,f. TFSIH (280 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added to a solution of 2e (233 mg, 0.20 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was refluxed for 24 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 3e as a red solid. Compound 3e was used for the next step without further purification. Compound 3f was synthesized similarly as for compound 3e and used for the next step without further purification.

Synthesis of 3g. Compound **3g** was synthesized similarly as for compound **3a**. However, after the reaction, deep purple crystals were filtered and washed with *n*-hexane to give **3g** in 90% yield: mp 240.0 °C dec; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ 9.11 (s, 2H), 8.07 (s, 2H), 5.32 (br, 4H), 5.11 (br, 4H), 4.61 (br, 4H), 4.42 (s, 10H), 1.66 (br, 4H), 1.51–1.35 (m, 20H), 0.91 (br, 6H). Anal. Calcd for C₅₈H₅₆F₁₂Fe₂N₄O₁₂S₄: C, 47.42; H, 3.84; N, 3.81. Found: C, 47.13; H, 3.88; N, 3.94.

Synthesis of 1a. To a dry CH₃OH solution (15 mL) of compound **3a** (obtained without further purification, 0.056 mmol) was added NH₃·H₂O (0.25 mL, 6.5 mmol). The color immediately changed from deep purple to red, and the solution was refluxed for 1.0 h. Then, the mixture was diluted with water (30 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×20 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatograph with petroleum ether (60–90 °C)/CH₂Cl₂ (v/v, 2/1) as eluent to give **1a** as a red solid (33.5 mg) in 47% yield: mp 178.0 °C dec; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.96 (s, 2H), 7.77 (s, 2H), 5.14 (br, 4H), 4.63 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 4.53 (br, 4H), 4.03 (s, 10H), 2.33 (br, 2H), 1.47–1.19 (m, 64H), 0.86–0.78 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.7, 155.2, 149.2, 134.9, 128.0, 125.7, 121.7, 110.9,

109.0, 84.1, 70.6, 70.0, 68.0, 46.2, 36.7, 32.0, 30.3, 29.8, 29.5, 29.4, 27.1, 22.8, 14.25; MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 1240.9 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for $C_{78}H_{104}Fe_2N_4O_2$: C, 75.47; H, 8.44; N, 4.51. Found: C, 75.61; H, 8.58; N, 4.52.

Synthesis of 1b. Compound **1b** was synthesized similarly and obtained as a red solid (42% yield based on compound **2b**): mp 208.1–209.2 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 8.76 (s, 2H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.95 (s, 2H), 7.26–7.22 (m, 8H), 7.12–7.07 (m, 12H), 7.04–7.00 (m, 4H), 4.41 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 4H), 2.09 (br, 2H), 1.24–1.01 (m, 64H), 0.75–0.68 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.5, 152.1, 149.3, 147.5, 135.3, 132.0, 129.6, 128.3, 125.6, 125.3, 123.8, 122.6, 121.5, 111.0, 109.2, 46.0, 36.7, 32.0, 31.9, 30.2, 29.8, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 26.9, 22.8, 22.8, 14.2; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m*/*z* 1359.4 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₉₄H₁₁₄N₆O₂: C, 83.02; H, 8.45; N, 6.18. Found: C, 82.94; H, 8.51; N, 6.25.

Synthesis of 1c. Compound 1c was synthesized similarly and obtained as a orange solid (60% yield based on compound 2c): mp 202.1–203.3 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.82 (s, 2H), 7.92 (s, 2H), 7.79 (br, 2H), 7.47 (br, 2H), 7.20 (br, 2H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 4H), 2.16 (br, 2H), 1.36–1.15 (m, 64H), 0.84–0.81 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.0, 149.1, 148.0, 145.0, 135.0, 128.5, 128.4, 125.3, 121.4, 109.9, 109.1, 46.2, 36.5, 32.0, 31.8, 30.3, 29.8, 29.5, 26.7, 22.8, 14.2; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m*/*z* 1037.0 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₆₆H₉₂N₄O₂S₂: C, 76.40; H, 8.94; N, 5.40. Found: C, 76.40; H, 8.99; N, 5.37.

Synthesis of 1d. Compound 1d was synthesized similarly and obtained as a yellow solid (52% yield based on compound 2d): mp 142.5–143.4 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.92 (s, 2H), 8.27 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 4H), 8.14 (s, 2H), 7.60–7.52 (m, 6H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 2.16 (br, 2H), 1.35–1.13 (m, 64H), 0.86–0.79 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.3, 152.4, 149.2, 138.7, 135.2, 129.6, 129.1, 128.4, 127.5, 125.5, 121.4, 111.9, 109.3, 46.1, 36.7, 32.0, 30.3, 29.8, 29.5, 26.9, 22.8, 22.8, 14.2; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m/z* 1025.0 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₇₀H₉₆N₄O₂: C, 81.98; H, 9.44; N, 5.46. Found: C, 81.88; H, 9.43; N, 5.45.

Synthesis of 1e. Compound 1e was synthesized similarly and obtained as a yellow solid (46% yield based on compound 2e): mp 159.5–160.3 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.98 (s, 2H), 8.32 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 8.16 (s, 2H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 4.54 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 2.16 (br, 2H), 1.23–1.13 (m, 64H), 0.85–0.78 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.1, 150.9, 149.6, 141.8, 135.3, 128.6, 127.6, 126.1, 125.2, 123.3, 121.7, 112.6, 109.8, 46.1, 36.7, 32.0, 32.0, 30.3, 29.8, 29.7, 29.4, 26.9, 22.8, 14.2, 14.2; MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 1160.6 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₉₄F₆N₄O₂: C, 74.45; H, 8.16; N, 4.82. Found: C, 74.51; H, 8.36; N, 4.80.

Synthesis of 1f. Compound 1f was synthesized similarly and obtained as a yellow solid (59% yield based on compound 2f): mp 160.1–161.1 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.09 (s, 2H), 7.71 (s, 2H), 4.69 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 4H), 2.87 (s, 6H), 2.25 (br, 2H), 1.48–1.19 (m, 64H), 0.87–0.81 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.6, 154.1, 149.2, 135.0, 127.5, 125.6, 121.5, 114.6, 108.7, 45.6, 36.6, 32.0, 30.2, 29.8, 29.8, 29.5, 26.7, 25.3, 22.8, 22.8, 14.2; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m*/*z* 900.4 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₉₂N₄O₂: C, 79.95; H, 10.29; N, 6.22. Found: C, 79.98; H, 10.29; N, 6.25.

Synthesis of 1g. Compound **1g** was synthesized similarly and obtained as a red solid (58% yield based on compound **2g**): mp 296.0 °C dec; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.15 (s, 2H), 7.91 (s, 2H), 5.18 (br, 4H), 4.85 (br, 4H), 4.55 (br, 4H), 4.08 (s, 10H), 2.03 (br, 4H), 1.64 (br, 4H), 1.39–1.26 (m, 16H), 0.89 (br, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, 1,2-dichlorobenzene- d_4 , 100 °C) δ 161.8, 155.5, 149.3, 135.3, 133.3, 131.0, 122.1, 110.6, 109.4, 84.5, 70.3, 69.8, 68.0, 41.9, 31.9, 29.6, 29.3, 28.4, 27.6, 22.5, 13.8; MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 904.4 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₅₆Fe₂N₄O₂: C, 71.69; H, 6.24; N, 6.19. Found: C, 71.31; H, 6.12; N, 6.12.

Fabrication of OFETs. Bottom-gate bottom-contact OFETs were fabricated. A heavily doped Si wafer and a layer of dry oxidized SiO_2 (300 nm) were used as a gate electrode and gate dielectric layer, respectively. The drain-source (D-S) gold contacts were fabricated by photolithography. The substrates were cleaned in water, deionized water, ethanol, and rinsed in acetone. Then, the surface was modified

with *n*-octadecyltrimethoxysilane (OTS). After that, the substrates were cleaned in *n*-hexane and CHCl₃ and C₂H₅OH. Compound 1c were dissolved in CHCl₃ (about 10 mg/mL) and spin-coated on the substrate at 2000 rpm. The annealing processes were carried out in vacuum condition for 60 min at each temperature.

The field-effect mobility of holes (μ_h) was calculated by fitting a straight line to the plot of the square root of $I_{\rm DS}$ vs $V_{\rm G}$ (saturation region), according to the expression $I_{\rm DS} = (W/2L)\mu_{\rm h}C_{\rm i}(V_{\rm G} - V_{\rm TH})^2$, where $I_{\rm DS}$ is the drain electrode collected current; *L* and *W* are the channel length and width, respectively; $\mu_{\rm h}$ is the mobility of the device; $C_{\rm i}$ is the capacitance per unit area of the gate dielectric layer; $V_{\rm G}$ is the gate voltage; and $V_{\rm TH}$ is the threshold voltage.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

General information, UV–vis absorption, femtosecond transient absorption spectra, fluorescence decay curves, cyclic voltammograms, XRD patterns and AFM images, TGA analysis, X-ray crystallographic data, theoretical calculations data, and ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR specra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: (G.Z.) gxzhang@iccas.ac.cn, (D.Z.) dqzhang@iccas.ac. cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present research was financially supported by NSFC, the State Basic Program, and the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Li, L.; Gao, P.; Schuermann, K. C.; Ostendorp, S.; Wang, W.; Du, C.; Lei, Y.; Fuchs, H.; Cola, L. D.; Müllen, K.; Chi, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8807–8809. (b) Dasgupta, D.; Srinivasan, S.; Rochas, C.; Thierry, A.; Schroeder, A.; Ajayaghosh, A.; Guenet, J. M. Soft Matter 2011, 7, 2797–2804. (c) Ajayaghosh, A.; J.George, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 514–517.

(2) (a) Henson, Z. B.; Müllen, K.; Bazan, G. C. Nature Chem. 2012, 4, 699–704. (b) Chen, L.; Hernandez, Y.; Feng, X.; Müllen, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7640–7654.

(3) (a) Liu, W. J.; Zhou, Y.; Ma, Y. G.; Cao, Y.; Wang, J.; Pei, J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4187–4189. (b) Lei, T.; Cao, Y.; Fan, Y.; Liu, C.-J.; Yuan, S.-C.; Pei, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6099–6101.

(4) (a) Okamoto, T.; Senatore, M. L.; Ling, M. M.; Mallik, A. B.; Tang, M. L.; Bao, Z. *Adv. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 3381–3384. (b) Mei, J.; Kim, D. H.; Ayzner, A. L.; Toney, M. F.; Bao, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 20130–20133.

(5) (a) Qu, Y.; Hua, J.; Tian, H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3320–3323.
(b) Ning, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Wu, W.; Pei, H.; Liu, B.; Tian, H. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3791–3797.

(6) (a) Wang, C.; Dong, H.; Hu, W.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, D. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 2208–2267. (b) Li, R.; Hu, W.; Liu; Zhu, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 529–540.

(7) (a) Cao, Y.; Liu, S.; Shen, Q.; Yan, K.; Li, P.; Xu, J.; Yu, D.; Steigerwald, M. L.; Nuckolls, C.; Liu, Z.; Guo, X. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2009**, *19*, 2743–2748. (b) Cao, Y.; Steigerwald, M. L.; Nuckolls, C.; Guo, X. *Adv. Mater.* **2010**, *22*, 20–32.

(8) (a) Osaka, I.; Abe, T.; Shinamura, S.; Takimiya, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6852–6860. (b) Osaka, I.; Abe, T.; Shinamura, S.; Miyazaki, E.; Takimiya, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5000–5001.

(9) (a) Würthner, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1037–1039.
(b) Schmidt, R.; Ling, M. M.; Oh, J. H.; Winkler, M.; Könemann, M.; Bao, Z.; Würthner, F. Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 3692–3695.

(10) (a) Liu, Y.; Zhan, X. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2011, 212, 428–443.
(b) Zhang, Y.; Zou, J.; Cheuh, C.; Yip, H.; Jen, A. K.-Y. Macromolecules 2012, 45, 5427–5435.
(c) Gao, Y.; Yip, H.; Chen, K.; O'Malley, K.; Acton, O.; Sun, Y.; Ting, G.; Chen, H.; Jen, A. K.-Y. Adv. Mater. 2011, 23, 1903–1908.

(11) Yue, W.; Lv, A.; Gao, J.; Jiang, W.; Hao, L.; Li, C.; Li, Y.; Polander, L. E.; Barlow, S.; Hu, W.; Motta, S.; Negri, F.; Marder, S. R.; Wang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 5770–5773.

(12) Brabec, C. J.; Heeney, M.; McCullochb, I.; Nelsonc, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1185–1199.

(13) Sergeyev, S.; Pisula, W.; Geerts, Y. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1902–1929.

(14) Zhou, J.; Wan, X.; Liu, Y.; Long, G.; Wang, F.; Li, Z.; Zuo, Y.; Li, C.; Chen, Y. *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 4666–4668.

(15) Chen, H.; Guo, Y.; Yu, G.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, J.; Gao, D.; Liu, H.; Liu, Y. Adv. Mater. **2012**, *24*, 4618–4622.

(16) Bhosale, S. V.; Bhargava, S. K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 6455-6468.

(17) Sakai, N.; Mareda, J.; Vautheyb, E.; Matile, S. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4225–4237.

(18) Würthner, F.; Stolte, M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5109-5115.
(19) Zhan, X.; Facchetti, A.; Barlow, S.; Marks, T. J.; Ratner, M. A.;

Wasielewski, M. R.; Marder, S. R. Adv. Mater. 2011, 23, 268-284.

(20) (a) Alam, M. M.; Jenekhe, S. A. *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 4647–4656. (b) Ahmed, E.; Ren, G.; Kim, F. S.; Hollenbeck, E. C.; Jenekhe, S. A. *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 4563–4577. (c) Fabiano, S.; Chen, Z.; Vahedi, S.; Facchetti, A.; Pignataro, B.; Loi, M. A. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21*, 5891–5896. (d) Schubert, M.; Dolfen, D.; Frisch, J.; Roland,

S.; Steyrleuthner, R.; Stiller, B.; Chen, Z.; Scherf, U.; Koch, N.; Facchetti, A.; Neher, D. Adv. Energy Mater. 2012, 2, 369–380.

(21) Chopin, S.; Chaignon, F.; Blart, E.; Odobel, F. J. Mater. Chem. 2007, 17, 4139-4146.

(22) Chang, J.; Ye, Q.; Huang, K.; Zhang, J.; Chen, Z.; Wu, J.; Chi, C. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 2964–2967.

(23) Chen, Z.; Zheng, Y.; Yan, H.; Facchetti, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8–9.

(24) Yan, H.; Chen, Z.; Zheng, Y.; Newman, C.; Quinn, J. R.; Dötz, F.; Kastler, M.; Facchetti, A. *Nature* **2009**, 457, 679–686.

(25) Baeg, K.; Khim, D.; Jung, S.; Kang, M.; You, I.; Kim, D.; Facchetti, A.; Noh, Y. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 5433–5439.

(26) Gao, X.; Di, C.; Hu, Y.; Yang, X.; Fan, H.; Zhang, F.; Liu, Y.; Li, H.; Zhu, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 3697–3699.

(27) Zhao, Y.; Di, C.; Gao, X.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Liu, Y.; Wang, J.; Hu, W.; Zhu, D. *Adv. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 2448–2453.

(28) Hu, Y.; Gao, X.; Di, C.; Yang, X.; Zhang, F.; Liu, Y.; Li, H.; Zhu, D. Chem. Mater. 2011, 23, 1204–1215.

(29) Suraru, S. L.; Zschieschang, U.; Klauk, H.; Würthner, F. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11504-11506.

(30) (a) Tan, L.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, G.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, D.; Yu, G.; Xu, W.; Liu, Y. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21*, 18042–18048. (b) Tan, L.; Guo, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, D.; Yu, G.; Xu, W.; Liu, Y. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2530–2541.

(31) (a) Herbst, M.; Hunger, K. Industrial Organic Pigments; VCH: New York, 1993. (b) Herbst, W.; Hunger, K. Industrial Organic Pigments, Production, Properties, Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1997.

(32) Quinto, M.; Jenekhe, S. A.; Bard, A. J. Chem. Mater. 2001, 13, 2825–2834.

(33) Babel, A.; Jenekhe, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13656–13657.

(34) Langhals, H.; Jaschke, H. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006, 12, 2815–2824.

(35) Ortiz, R. P.; Herrera, H.; Seoane, C.; Segura, J. L.; Facchetti, A.; Marks, T. J. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 532–536.

(36) Polander, L. E.; Pandey, L.; Romanov, A.; Fonari, A.; Barlow, S.; Seifried, B. M.; Timofeeva, T. V.; Brédas, J.; Marder, S. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 77, 5544–5551.

(37) Durban, M. M.; Kazarinoff, P. D.; Segawa, Y.; Luscombe, C. K. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44*, 4721–4728.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

(38) (a) Chopin, S.; Chaignon, F.; Blart, E.; Odobel, F. J. Mater. Chem. 2007, 17, 4139–4146. (b) Würthner, F.; Suraru, S.-L. Synthesis 2009, 2009, 1841–1845.

(39) (a) Kondo, M.; Uchikawa, M.; Namiki, K.; Zhang, W.; Kume, S.; Nishibori, E.; Suwa, H.; Aoyagi, S.; Sakata, M.; Murata, M.; Kobayashi, Y.; Nishihara, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 12112–12124.
(b) Kondo, M.; Uchikawa, M.; Kume, S.; Nishihara, H. Chem. Commun. 2009, 45, 1993–1995.

(40) Rao, K.; Kusamoto, T.; Toshimitsu, F.; Inayoshi, K.; Kume, S.; Sakamoto, R.; Nishihara, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 12472–12479.

(41) Kondo, M.; Uchikawa, M.; Zhang, W.; Namiki, K.; Kume, S.; Murata, M.; Kobayashi, Y.; Nishihara, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 6271–6274.

(42) Compound **1g** shows almost the same absorption spectra (see Figure S1, Supporting Information) as **1a**. This is understandable as they contain the same conjugated moieties.

(43) As an example, the absorption spectrum of 1b was measured in solvents of different polarities (see Figure S3, Supporting Information). The absorption spectrum of 1b was slightly red-shifted in polar solvents. Moreover, the fluorescence spectrum of 1b was largely red-shifted in acetone and ethyl acetate compared to that in hexane. These results provide the support for existence of intramolecular interactions between the respective electron-donating and -accepting moieties in 1a-d.

(44) As an example, the femtosecond transient absorption spectra of **1b** in benzonitrile were measured after irradiation. Figures S6–S8 (Supporting Information) show the absorption spectra at different delay times, the time profile of the transient absorption at 540 nm, and the time profile of the transient absorption at 650 nm. According to previous reports, the absorption at 650 nm should be due to the radical cation of triphenylamin: Oyama, M.; Nozaki, K.; Okasaki, S. *Anal. Chem.* **1991**, *63*, 1387–1392. De la Fuente, J.; Neira, V.; Saitz, C.; Jullian, C.; Sobarzo-Sanchez, E. J. Phys. Chem. A **2005**, *109*, 5897–5904. Therefore, the formation of the radical cation of triphenylamine upon photoirradiation provides direct evidence for the photoinduced electron transfer between the triphenylamine moieties and the central core in **1b** upon light irradiation. In addition, the free-rotation of phenyl fragments within triphenylamine moieties will also lead to weak fluorescence of **1b** in solution.

(45) This can be again attributed to the intramolecular photoinduced electron transfer between ferrocene moieties and the electron-accepting central conjugated core.

(46) (a) Kuwabara, J.; Yamagata, T.; Kanbara, T. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 3736–3741. (b) Mei, J.; Wang, J.; Sun, J.; Zhao, H.; Yuan, W.; Deng, C.; Chen, S.; Sung, H. H. Y.; Lu, P.; Qin, A.; Kwok, H.; Ma, Y.; Williams, I. D.; Tang, B. *Chem. Sci* **2012**, *3*, 549–558.

(47) Lei, T.; Chen, H.; Yin, J.; Huang, S.; Zhu, X.; Pei, J. Org. Electron. 2011, 12, 453-460.

(48) Both photoinduced electron-transfer and free rotations of phenyl fragments within triphenylamine moieties contribute to the weak fluorescence of **1b** in solution. In the solid state, **1b** may adopt a more twisting conformation, and as a result the intramolecular electron donor–acceptor interactions may be weakened; accordingly, the photoinduced electron transfer may become less effective in comparison with that in solution. More importantly, the free rotations can be inhibited in the solid state (after aggregation). These synergic effects may lead to fluorescent enhancement for **1b** in the solid state (after aggregation).

(49) Dong, Y.; Lam, J. W. Y.; Qin, A.; Li, Z.; Sun, J.; Sung, H. H.-Y; Williams, I. D.; Tang, B. Chem. Commun. 2007, 43, 40-42.

(50) Hong, Y.; Lam, J. W. Y.; Tang, B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5361-5388.

(51) Qi, X.; Li, H.; Lam, J. W. Y.; Yuan, X.; Wei, J.; Tang, B.; Zhang, H. Adv. Mater. **2012**, *24*, 4191–4195.

(52) Zeng, Q.; Li, Z.; Dong, Y.; Di, C.; Qin, A.; Hong, Y.; Ji, L.; Zhu, Z.; Jim, C. K. W.; Yu, G.; Li, Q.; Li, Z.; Liu, Y.; Qin, J.; Tang, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 43, 70–78.

(53) Deans, R.; Kim, J.; Machacek, M. R.; Swager, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8565–8566.

(54) Efforts were made to prepare the single crystals of 1b to determine the structure of 1b in the solid state, but failed.

(55) (a) Wu, Y.; Li, J.; Ai, X.; Fu, L.; Zhang, J.; Fu, Y.; Zhou, J.; Li, L.; Bo, Z. J. Phys. Chem. A **2007**, 111, 11473–11479. (b) Liu, H.; Jia, H.; Wang, L.; Wu, Y.; Zhan, C.; Fu, H.; Yao, J. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **2012**, 14, 14262–14269.

(56) Compared to the oxidation potentials of free ferrocene and triphenylamine (see Figure S10, Supporting Information), the oxidation potentials of **1a** and **1b** were positively shifted by 0.10 and 0.04 V, respectively. This is probably due to the respective intramolecular electron donor-acceptor interactions within **1a** and **1b**.

(57) De Leeuw, D. M.; Simenon, M. M. J.; Brown, A. R.; Einerhand, R. E. F. Synth. Met. **1997**, 87, 53–59.

(58) Usta, H.; Facchetti, A.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8580-8581.

(59) Because of the limitation of calculation capacity, the DFT calculations were not performed for 1a and 1b.

(60) The differences may result from the fact that the solvent effects were not included in the calculations.

(61) However, domain sizes decrease after further annealing at 120 °C. Also, XRD diffraction peaks become weak. These are in agreement with the observation that hole mobility of OFETs of 1c decreases after further annealing at 120 °C (see Table S1, Supporting Information).

(62) Meng, H.; Bao, Z.; Lovinger, A. J.; Wang, B. C.; Mujsce, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9214–9215.

(63) Wu, Y.; Li, Y.; Gardner, S.; Ong, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 614-618.

(64) The existence of contact resistance is probably due to the fact that the HOMO level of 1c (-5.76 eV) does not match the work function of gold electrodes, and thus, the injection barrier is induced. (65) Chaignon, F.; Falkenström, M.; Karlsson, S.; Blart, E.; Odobel,

F.; Hammarström, L. Chem. Commun. 2007, 43, 64–66.

(66) Dallairel, C.; Brook, M. A. Organometallics 1993, 12, 2332–2338.